

XXIV International Conference on Chemical Reactors CHEMREACTOR-24 September 12 - 17, 2021

# **CONVERSION AND PRESSURE DROP** IN CATALYTIC PARTICULATE FILTERS

## Rudolf Pečinka, Jan Němec, Petr Kočí\*

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague. Technická 5, Prague 166 28, Czech Republic \*Corresponding author, e-mail: petr.koci@vscht.cz, Tel.: +420 220 44 3293

## Introduction

- Combustion engines produce harmful particulate matter (PM, "soot")
  - size:  $10^{-3} 10^{1} \mu m$ ,
  - composition: carbon, ash, sulfates, soluble organic fraction, adsorbed hydrocarbons, ...
- PM is captured in **particulate filters** (DPF for Diesel, GPF for gasoline fuelled engines)
  - exhaust gas flows through honeycomb substrate with alternately plugged channels –
  - gas passes through porous substrate walls (Figure 1)

## Results

### **PRESSURE DROP**

- Highest pressure drop: Z39 ( $d_{90} = 4 \mu m$ , on wall)
- Lowest pressure drop: Z8 ( $d_{90}$  = 0.3 µm, in wall)
- For in-wall coating, the pressure drop does not depend much on catalyst particle size
- For on-wall coating, the pressure drop is determined by cracks and uncoated parts
  - more cracks and less uniform on-wall layer: Z16 ( $d_{90} = 0.3 \mu m$ )
- The filters need to be combined with catalysts that control emissions of gaseous pollutants (CO, NO, and unburnt hydrocarbons)
  - catalytically active coating can be applied directly inside and/or onto porous filter walls
  - catalytic particulate filters reduce aftertreatment system's size and cost
- Key parameters of catalysed particulate filters depend on:





Figure 1: Particulate filter function

## Experimental setup



Figure 3: Dependence of pressure drop on space velocity for all samples

#### **CATALYTIC ACTIVITY**

- Figure 4 shows CO light-off curves
  - Outlet CO concentration as a function of increasing temperature
- The light-off temperature and CO slip due to transport limitation were studied (see Table 2 and 3)

Table 2: Light-off temperatures					Table 3: Transport limitation of CO conversion				
T <sub>50</sub> (°C)	Z7	Z8	Z16	Z39	CO slip (ppm)	Z7	Z8	Z16	Z39
50 000 h <sup>-1</sup>	159.7	150.6	154.2	165.1	50 000 h⁻¹	0	0	0	0
100 000 h <sup>-1</sup>	163.0	155.6	159.3	167.5	100 000 h <sup>-1</sup>	5	0	3	2
200 000 h <sup>-1</sup>	169 3	162.3	166 7	171 <i>/</i>	200 000 h <sup>-1</sup>	20	2	Δ	8

#### **STUDIED SAMPLES**

- Catalytic particulate filters
  - $Pt/Al_2O_3$  on cordierite
  - Catalyst particle sizes d<sub>90</sub>: 0.3 μm, 4 μm
- Filter size: diameter 2.5 cm, length 6 cm (lab sample)

#### PRESSURE DROP MEASUREMENT

- The experiments were performed on special device for the pressure drop measurement
- The pressure drop was measured for twelve space velocities from 15 000 h<sup>-1</sup> to 240 000 h<sup>-1</sup>

#### CATALYTIC ACTIVITY MEASUREMENT

- Laboratory tubular flow reactor with synthetic gas mixture (Figure 2 apparatus scheme)
  - **GHSV:** 50 000 h<sup>-1</sup>, 100 000 h<sup>-1</sup> and 200 000 h<sup>-1</sup>
- **Experiments:** linear temperature ramps of 5 °C/min between 80 and 400 °C
- Inlet mixture composition: 0.1 % CO, 5.0 % O<sub>2</sub>, 94.9 % N<sub>2</sub>
- Outlet gas analysis: FTIR gas analyzer, MS Hiden QGA



Table 1: Samples specification								
Sample ID	Coating location	Catalyst particle size [µm]						
Z7	Inside wall	4						
Z8	Inside wall	0.3						
Z16	On wall	0.3						
Z39	On wall	4						





Figure 4: Dependence of the output CO concentration on the reactor inlet temperature for all samples. GHSV: a) 50 000 h<sup>-1</sup>, b) 100 000 h<sup>-1</sup>, c) 200 000 h<sup>-1</sup>

## Conclusions

#### **PRESSURE DROP**

- In-wall coating retaining free porosity of the wall  $\rightarrow$  low pressure drop
  - however, low clean filtration efficiency can be expected
- On-wall layer  $\rightarrow$  higher pressure drop
  - cracks prevent excessive pressure drop

#### **CATALYTIC ACTIVITY**

- Only minor effect of coating location on the conversion at low flow rates
- Transport limitation of CO conversion observed at higher flow rates (sensitive to the coating distribution)

-> gas lines --> heated gas lines

Figure 2: Laboratory apparatus scheme

SAMPLE Z8 ( $d_{90}$  = 0.3 um, in-wall) APPEARS TO BE THE BEST FROM THE STUDIED SAMPLES

The lowest pressure drop and light-off temperature, no observable transport limitation

## References

[1] Blažek M., Žalud M., Kočí P., York A., Schlepütz C.M., Stampanoni M., Novák V. Washcoating of catalytic particulate filters studied by time-resolved X-ray tomography. Chemical Engineering Journal 409 (2021), 128057. DOI:10.1016/j.cej.2020.128057

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Czech Science Foundation (GA 19-22173S) and specific university research (grant No. A1\_FCHI\_2021\_004).







