

Computer-assisted error analysis for second-order elliptic equations in divergence form

Akitoshi Takayasu (Waseda University),

Shin'ichi Oishi (Waseda University)

SCAN'2012, Sep. 24th

Considered Problems ($N = 1, 2, 3$)

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$: bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary

$$(P_N) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u) = f(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Assuming

- $a(x) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, non-negative function.
- $f(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$.
- For $N = 1$, the problem is

$$(P_1) \quad \begin{cases} -(a(x)u')' = f(x), & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

(or $u(0) = u'(1) = 0$ on boundary).

Existence of Solution

+

(Computable) Error estimate

Existence of Solution

+

(Computable) Error estimate

Weak formulation

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$: bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary

$$(P_N) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u) = f(x), & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note

- ▶ $a(x) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$, non-negative function.
- ▶ $f(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Weak formulation

$(P_N) \implies \text{Find } u \in V, \quad a(u, v) = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in V.$

Note

- $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$
- $a(u, v) := (a(x)\nabla u, \nabla v).$

Weak formulation

$(P_N) \implies \text{Find } u \in V, \quad (\mathcal{A}u, v)_V = (f, v), \quad \forall v \in V.$

Note

- $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V, \quad (\mathcal{A}u, v)_V := a(u, v),$

Weak formulation

$(P_N) \implies \text{Find } u \in V, \quad (\mathcal{A}u, v)_V = (w_f, v)_V, \quad \forall v \in V.$

Note

- $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V, \quad (\mathcal{A}u, v)_V := a(u, v),$
- $\exists w_f \in V, \quad (w_f, v)_V := (f, v), \quad \leftarrow \text{Riesz's rep. th.}$

Weak formulation

$(P_N) \implies$ Find $u \in V$ satisfying $\mathcal{A}u = w_f$ in V .

Note

- $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V$, $(\mathcal{A}u, v)_V := a(u, v)$,
- $\exists w_f \in V$, $(w_f, v)_V := (f, v)$, \leftarrow Riesz's rep. th.

Existence of weak solution

- * Classical : Riesz's representation theorem
Lax-Milgram theorem

Coercivity is important.

Existence of weak solution

* Classical : Riesz's representation theorem
Lax-Milgram theorem

→ Coercivity is important.

Continuity & Coercivity

Let $a(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bilinear form.

Continuity & Coercivity

For $M, \lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}|a(u, v)| &\leq M\|u\|_V\|v\|_V, \\ a(u, u) &\geq \lambda\|u\|_V^2.\end{aligned}$$

Continuity & Coercivity

Let $a(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bilinear form.

Continuity

For $M, \lambda > 0$,

$$|a(u, v)| \leq M\|u\|_V\|v\|_V,$$

e.g. for $\Omega = (0, 1)$,

$$a(x) = 1 - x^2, \quad M = 1.$$

Discretization

Let

$$V_h := \text{span}\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\} \subset V,$$

be finite element subspace spanned by base functions $\{\phi_i\}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_h : V \rightarrow V_h$ be the orthogonal projection defined by

$$(\nabla(u - \mathcal{P}_h u), \nabla v_h) = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_h : V \rightarrow V_h$ be the orthogonal projection defined by

$$a(u - \mathcal{R}_h u, v_h) = 0, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Facts for Poisson's equation

Starter: Poisson's eq.

Note that following facts:

$$-\Delta u = g \text{ with } u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}u = w_g \text{ in } V,$$

where

$$(\mathcal{L}u, v)_V := (\nabla u, \nabla v), \quad (w_g, v)_V := (g, v), \quad \forall v \in V.$$

From Riesz's representation theorem, $\exists u = \mathcal{L}^{-1}w_g$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}\|u - \mathcal{P}_h u\|_V &= \|(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}_h)\mathcal{L}^{-1}w_g\|_V \\ &\leq C(h)\|g\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$C(h) := \sup_{\substack{0 \neq g \in L^2(\Omega)}} \frac{\|(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{P}_h)\mathcal{L}^{-1}w_g\|_V}{\|g\|_{L^2}} \approx O(h^{1-\varepsilon}).$$

Error estimate (P_1 -element)

Convex domain

$$C(h) \leq \sup_{0 \neq u \in H^2 \cap H_0^1} \frac{\|\nabla(u - \mathcal{P}_h u)\|_{L^2}}{|u|_{H^2}}$$

is evaluated by **interpolation error** in Nakao et. al. (1998),
Kikuchi & Lilu (2007),
Kobayashi (2010).

Non-convex domain

$$C(h) \leq \sqrt{C_{0,h} + h\gamma_h}$$

Liu & Oishi (2010) calculated
using *Hyper-circle approach*.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{h,\Omega}$ be piecewise linear
starc mapping of u and h be
relative vector.

Error estimate (P_1 -element)

Convex domain

$$C(h) \leq \sup_{0 \neq u \in H^2 \cap H_0^1} \frac{\|\nabla(u - \mathcal{P}_h u)\|_{L^2}}{|u|_{H^2}}$$

is evaluated by **interpolation error** in Nakao et. al. (1998),
Kikuchi & Lilu (2007),
Kobayashi (2010).

Non-convex domain

$$C(h) \leq \sqrt{C_{0,h}^2 + \kappa_h^2}$$

Liu & Oishi (2010) calculated
using **Hyper-circle approach**:

$$\|u - \mathcal{P}_{0,h} u\|_{L^2} \leq C_{0,h} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{0,h} u$ be piecewise constant mapping of u and κ_h computable value.

Error estimate (P_2 -element)

Arbitrary polygonal domain

$$C(h) \leq \sqrt{C_{1,h}^2 + \kappa_h^2}$$

T., Liu & Oishi (2012) calculated using *Hyper-circle approach*:

$$\|u - \mathcal{P}_{1,h}u\|_{L^2} \leq C_{1,h} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_{1,h}u$ be piecewise discontinuous linear mapping of u and κ_h computable value.

How about $-\operatorname{div}(a\nabla u) = f$?

Divergence form

The weak formulation revisited.

$$-\operatorname{div}(a \nabla u) = f \text{ with } u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}u = w_f \text{ in } V,$$

If the ellipticity (Continuous & Coercivity) is obtained, then Lax-Milgram's theorem states $\exists u = \mathcal{A}^{-1}w_f$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned}\|u - \mathcal{R}_h u\|_V &= \|(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{R}_h)\mathcal{A}^{-1}w_f\|_V \\ &\leq C_a(h) \|f\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$C_a(h) := \sup_{0 \neq f \in L^2(\Omega)} \frac{\|(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{R}_h)\mathcal{A}^{-1}w_f\|_V}{\|f\|_{L^2}} \approx O(h^{1-\varepsilon}) ?$$

ellipticity case

Céa's lemma works:

For approximation $\mathcal{R}_h u \in V_h$, satisfying

$$a(\mathcal{R}_h u, v_h) = (f, v_h), \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - \mathcal{R}_h u\|_V &\leq \frac{M}{\lambda} \inf_{w_h \in V_h} \|u - w_h\|_V \leftarrow \text{Céa's lemma} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\lambda} \|u - \mathcal{P}_h u\|_V \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\lambda} C(h) \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\lambda} C(h) C' \|f\|_{L^2} \approx O(h^{1-\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Degenerate case Using discrete inf-sup (LBB-)condition

$$\|u - \mathcal{R}_h u\|_V \leq \frac{M}{C_h} C(h) \tilde{C} \|f\|_{L^2},$$

where

$$C_h := \inf_{0 \neq u_h \in V_h} \sup_{0 \neq v_h \in V_h} \frac{a(u_h, v_h)}{\|u_h\|_V \|v_h\|_V} \approx O(h^{-1}).$$

Then, $\|u - \mathcal{R}_h u\|_V \approx O(1 \text{ or } h^{-1})$! That's too bad...

Setting of the function space is **not suitable**.

Theorem (Existence of solution)

$\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V$ is continuous with $\|\mathcal{A}\|_{V,V} \leq M$. K satisfies,

$$\|(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{A})u_c\|_{L^2} \leq K\|u_c\|_V,$$

for $\forall u_c = u - \mathcal{P}_h u \in V_c (= V \setminus V_h)$. $\mathcal{A}_h : V_h \rightarrow V_h$ is invertible with

$$\|\mathcal{A}_h^{-1}\|_{V,V} \leq C_h^{-1}.$$

Moreover, error estimate of Poisson's equation is obtained for given $g \in L^2(\Omega)$:

$$\|u - \mathcal{P}_h u\|_V \leq C(h)\|g\|_{L^2}.$$

If $\nu_h := 1 - C(h)C_{e,2}(C_h^{-1}M^2 + K) > 0$,

such that $\mathcal{A} : V \rightarrow V$ is invertible.

Model problem

$$\begin{cases} -(a(x)u')' = f(x), & 0 < x < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad u'(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$a(x) \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$f(x) = 4\pi^2(1 + x^2) \sin(2\pi x) - 4\pi x \cos(2\pi x)$$

Let us define $V := \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v(0) = 0\}$ with $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$.

Carried out on

Mac OS X 10.6.8, 2.7 GHz CPU, MATLAB 2011a,
Assembling matrix and vector by symbolic math toolbox
(MuPAD)

Results

$$a(x) = 1 - x^2, M = 1$$

$2^{-\eta}$	$C(h)$	C_h	$\nu_h(> 0)$	Existence
3	1.98944×10^{-2}	5.16106×10^{-2}	0.87327	OK
4	9.94718×10^{-3}	2.61107×10^{-2}	0.87672	OK
5	4.97359×10^{-3}	1.31316×10^{-2}	0.87843	OK
6	2.48680×10^{-3}	6.58486×10^{-3}	0.87929	OK
7	1.24340×10^{-3}	3.29719×10^{-3}	0.87971	OK
8	6.21699×10^{-4}	1.64979×10^{-3}	0.87992	OK
9	3.10849×10^{-4}	8.25190×10^{-4}	0.88003	OK

Results

$$a(x) = (1 - x)^2, M = 1$$

$2^{-\eta}$	$C(h)$	C_h	$\nu_h(> 0)$	Existence
3	1.98944×10^{-2}	1.18865×10^{-3}	-4.33158	NO
4	9.94718×10^{-3}	2.97162×10^{-4}	-9.65711	NO
5	4.97359×10^{-3}	7.42904×10^{-5}	-20.3112	NO
6	2.48680×10^{-3}	1.85726×10^{-5}	-41.6208	NO
7	1.24340×10^{-3}	4.64315×10^{-6}	-84.2410	NO
8	6.21699×10^{-4}	1.16079×10^{-6}	-169.481	NO
9	3.10849×10^{-4}	2.90197×10^{-7}	-339.963	NO

Weighted Sobolev space

[1] P. Caldiroli and R. Musina, On a variational degenerate elliptic problem. Nonlinear differ. equ. appl. 7 (2000) 187–199.

Assumption on $a(x)$

Let $a \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ be a given function on Ω . We suppose (h_α) for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow z} \frac{a(x)}{|x - z|^\alpha} > 0, \quad \forall z \in \overline{\Omega}. \quad (h_\alpha)$$

Let us introduce the value $2_\alpha^* = 4/\alpha \in [2, \infty)$.

[1] P. Caldiroli and R. Musina, On a variational degenerate elliptic problem. Nonlinear differ. equ. appl. 7 (2000) 187–199.

Assumption on $a(x)$

Let $a \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ be a given function on Ω . We suppose (h_α) for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$,

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow z} \frac{a(x)}{|x - z|^\alpha} > 0, \quad \forall z \in \overline{\Omega}. \quad (h_\alpha)$$

Let us introduce the value $2_\alpha^* = 4/\alpha \in [2, \infty)$.

Weighted Sobolev space

$$V_a := \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : x \in \text{supp } a(x)\} \subset V$$

with its inner product and the norm for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$

$$(u, v)_a = a(u, v), \quad \|u\|_a = a(u, u)^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } u, v \in V_a.$$

Results of embeddings (Lem. 3.1 & Prop. 3.2 in [1])

Let Ω be bounded and $a \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ satisfying (h₂), for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ the following embeddings hold:

$L^q(\Omega)$ continuously

$L^p(\Omega)$ compact (in case $1/p < 1 - \alpha/q$)

Weighted Sobolev space

$$V_a := \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : x \in \text{supp } a(x)\} \subset V$$

with its inner product and the norm for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$

$$(u, v)_a = a(u, v), \quad \|u\|_a = a(u, u)^{1/2}, \quad \text{for } u, v \in V_a.$$

Results of embeddings (Lem. 3.1 & Prop. 3.2 in [1])

Let Ω be bounded and $a \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ satisfying (h_α) for $\alpha \in (0, 2]$. The following embeddings hold

- ▶ $V_a \hookrightarrow L^{2^*_\alpha}(\Omega)$ continuously
- ▶ $V_a \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ with compact inclusion if $p \in [1, 2^*_\alpha]$.

c.f. $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ in ODE case.

Results

$$a(x) = (1 - x)^2, M = 1$$

$2^{-\eta}$	$C(h)$	C_h	$\nu_h(> 0)$	Existence
3	1.98944×10^{-2}	1.18865×10^{-3}	-4.33158	NO
4	9.94718×10^{-3}	2.97162×10^{-4}	-9.65711	NO
5	4.97359×10^{-3}	7.42904×10^{-5}	-20.3112	NO
6	2.48680×10^{-3}	1.85726×10^{-5}	-41.6208	NO
7	1.24340×10^{-3}	4.64315×10^{-6}	-84.2410	NO
8	6.21699×10^{-4}	1.16079×10^{-6}	-169.481	NO
9	3.10849×10^{-4}	2.90197×10^{-7}	-339.963	NO

Future work (A priori error estimate)

Our goal of this study is a priori error estimate for degenerate ellipticity problem $a(x) \geq 0$:

$$\|u - \mathcal{R}_h u\|_a \leq C_a(h) \|f\|_{L^2},$$

where

$$C_a(h) := \sup_{0 \neq f \in L^2(\Omega)} \frac{\|(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{R}_h)\mathcal{A}^{-1}w_f\|_a}{\|f\|_{L^2}} \approx O(h^{1-\varepsilon}) ?$$

Thank you for kind attention !